

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,573	06/24/2003	Yu-Chong Tai	020859-002410US	3326
22428	7590 06/14/2006		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			RAMILLANO, LORE JANET	
	SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	WASHINGTON, DC 20007		1743	
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			( _
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/603,573	TAI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner ·	Art Unit	
	Lore Ramillano	1743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	Iress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final.  noe except for formal matters, pro		merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	03 U.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-86 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-86 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)	`		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	-152)

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-33, drawn to a microfluidic system for liquid chromatography, classified in class 422, subclass 70.
  - II. Claims 34-56, drawn to a microfluidic system for electrospray ionization (ESI) and mass spectrometry (MS), classified in class 250, subclass 281.
  - III. Claims 57-62, drawn to a method for transferring fluid on a microfluidic chip based on an electrochemical actuation, classified in class 204, subclass 455.
  - IV. Claims 63-80, drawn to a method for controlling fluid through a microfluidic system in a liquid chromatography application that involves controlling the elution, classified in class 73, subclass 1.01.
  - V. Claims 81-86, drawn to a method for controlling fluid through a microfluidic system for ESI-MS, classified in class 73, subclass 61.59.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Groups I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects because the invention of Group I consists of a

Application/Control Number: 10/603,573

Art Unit: 1743

separation column, which is used for liquid chromatography, whereas, the invention of Group II consists of an ESI nozzle and a mass spectrometer, which are used for ESI and MS.

Inventions of Groups III and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus, such as an apparatus that does not have a separation column.

Inventions of Groups IV and V, and Group I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as a process that does not generate a gaseous species.

Inventions of Groups III, IV, and V, and Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as

claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as a process that does not use an electrochemical pump.

Inventions of Groups III, and Groups IV, and V, are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the related inventions are distinct because the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants and the inventions as claimed have a materially different mode of operation, function, and effect, whereby the invention of Group III involves a method for controlling fluid through a microfluidic chip based on an electrochemical actuation, whereas, the inventions of Group IV, and V, involve a method for controlling fluid through a microfluidic system in a liquid chromatography application.

Inventions of Groups IV and Group V are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the related inventions are distinct because the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants and the inventions as claimed have a materially different mode of operation, function, and effect, whereby the invention of Group IV involves a method for controlling fluid through a microfluidic system in a liquid chromatography application,

Application/Control Number: 10/603,573

Art Unit: 1743

whereas, the invention of Group V involves a method for controlling fluid through a microfluidic system for ESI-MS.

- 2. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. A telephone call was made to Steven Rutt on 4/24/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,573

Art Unit: 1743

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lore Ramillano whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7420. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lore Ramillano Examiner

Art Unit 1743

5/31/06

Jill Warden Supervisory Patent Examine

Page 6

Technology Center 1700